	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	X
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 19-CR-386 (PKC)
4	Plaintiff, : : United States Courthouse
5	-against- : Brooklyn, New York
6	MUSTAFA GOKLU, : : Monday, November 25, 2019
7	Defendant. : 2:00 p.m.
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR A STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Government: RICHARD P. DONOGHUE, ESQ.
15	United States Attorney Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
16	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: FRANCISCO NAVARRO, ESQ.
17	Assistant United States Attorney
18	For the Defendant: MICHAEL H. GOLD, ESQ.
19	33 Hunting Ridge Road Chappaqua, New York 10514
20	
21	
22	Court Reporter: DAVID R. ROY, RPR
23	225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201
24	drroyofcr@gmail.com
25	Proceedings recorded by Stenographic machine shorthand, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription.

1	(In open court.)
2	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for status
3	conference, Docket 19-CR-386, United States versus Goklu.
4	Will the parties please state their appearances
5	for the record.
6	MR. NAVARRO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
7	Francisco Navarro for the Government.
8	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
9	MR. GOLD: For the defendant, Michael Gold. Good
10	afternoon, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Gold; and to you,
12	Mr. Goklu.
13	And then we will have our interpreter state her
14	name for the record and be sworn in.
15	THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Ecegul "A.J." Elterman.
16	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Will you please raise your
17	right hand.
18	(Interpreter sworn.)
19	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Thank you very much and good afternoon
21	to you.
22	THE INTERPRETER: Good afternoon.
23	THE COURT: All right. So we are here for a
24	status conference.
25	Mr. Navarro, where does the case stand at the

moment?

MR. NAVARRO: Your Honor, the Government has provided discovery over to Mr. Gold. Mr. Gold came in as substitute counsel for Federal Defenders. I believe Mr. Gold has reviewed it. We have exchanged -- I don't want to say offers, but we have exchanged ideas on what a plea would look like, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NAVARRO: And right now, the ball is in my court to review Mr. Gold's latest proposal to see whether it is acceptable to the Government. And I would ask Your Honor for 30 days to be able to see where we were at that point. You know, I think we will have a good idea by then where we are going.

THE COURT: Does that sound right?

MR. GOLD: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: And we had, before Your Honor took the bench just a little conference, and January 8th at 12:45 seemed to work for all parties.

THE COURT: All right. That sounds fine. We will put this over until January 8th.

Did you say 12:30?

MR. GOLD: 12:45.

THE COURT: Okay. And I will exclude the time

from now until then to allow the parties to continue their plea negotiations.

Did you also have an application, Mr. Gold?

MR. GOLD: I did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: It's for a modification of bail.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: Mr. Goklu is currently on bail. He has a monitor and he is on a curfew that requires him to be home from 10:00 in the evening until 9:00 in the morning.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: Just so the Court knows, I sent the EM unit, electronic monitoring unit, Ms. Carlson, two emails about today's application notifying her of the time and the substance.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: So they were aware of that.

What we are proposing in exchange for the addition of two new suretors who are present in court now in the audience, and I am happy, of course, to call them up as needed --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: -- we asking for this curfew being lifted, not the monitor, just the curfew itself. His current suretor, who is also present in court, is the owner

of a trucking company that delivers cars to and from auctions and has offered Mr. Goklu a job driving for him, which frequently involves nighttime driving in the tri-state area, which is what is precipitating this application.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: So actually, if I may change one thing I said earlier? The current bail conditions, I believe, also limit him to the Eastern District and Manhattan. So I would also, if the Court would consider, ask that if you are inclined to grant this application, he be permitted to drive in the tri-state area, because that is where many of his customers and auctions need these cars.

And I can tell you more about the suretors, if you would like?

THE COURT: Okay. One second: When you say tri state, you are referring to New Jersey, New York and --

MR. GOLD: Pennsylvania --

THE COURT: -- Pennsylvania?

MR. GOLD: -- and Connecticut.

THE COURT: Okay. So four different states?

MR. GOLD: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLD: I don't count so well. That's why I'm

24 | a lawyer.

THE COURT: All right.

1	MR. GOLD: What can I say?
2	THE COURT: The suretor is also going to be his
3	boss in effect, then, for this business?
4	MR. GOLD: That is correct.
5	THE COURT: And they are transporting cars for
6	people who are buying them or for what purpose?
7	MR. GOLD: Oh, people buying from the auction.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. GOLD: And people who are selling to an
10	auction, or have sold to an auction and have to have the
11	cars delivered to wherever.
12	THE COURT: And these have to be delivered at
13	night because?
14	MR. GOLD: I'm just told it's a 24-hour
15	business
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. GOLD: and cars are going out all the time.
18	It's not that he necessarily would be it's not like he's
19	going to have a shift
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	MR. GOLD: from, you know, 10:00 at night until
22	4:00 in the morning. But as I understand it, frequently
23	these deliveries are made in the evening or early morning
24	hours.
25	THE COURT: I mean, I certainly understand for

efficiency reasons why one might do that, to avoid traffic and the like. But given his circumstances, I am wondering if there is a way he could do this work in the daytime?

Because there is obviously some concern about letting him drive within a four-state area.

MR. GOLD: I understand.

THE COURT: To the extent the Government has concerns about him fleeing, that would certainly give him a head start, if that is what he is doing. And doing that late at night would compound the difficulty, I think, of detecting and pursuing the defendant if the Government were concerned about his flight.

But let me turn to the Government for a moment and see what their view is. Honestly, I don't know enough about the case to know if this is a genuine issue.

MR. NAVARRO: Thank you, Your Honor. It is an issue on multiple fronts.

And to give Your Honor just a brief background on the case --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NAVARRO: -- this is a money laundering case, Your Honor, where the defendant is alleged to have engaged in multiple transactions with undercover DEA agents to launder narcotics proceeds.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NAVARRO: In addition to that, the DEA also surveilled him doing money laundering transactions with other individuals, both dropping off and picking up money always in his car at various points in both the Eastern and the Southern District of New York.

He was arrested, and then shortly after he was arrest, the Government became concerned because he started changing the passwords to a lot of his accounts. So there was concern that he was potentially either altering evidence or in some way making it inaccessible.

Based on all of those circumstances around his arrest, the Government asked for electron monitoring and home detention out of the gate. Judge Kuo granted that.

She also, I believe at the time -- I don't have the Pretrial Services report in front of me, but I believe he was working as a driver in some other capacity for gainful employment, as well as the money laundering. Because of the nature of the allegations, that he was doing everything in his car, Judge Kuo ordered that he not be permitted to drive doing that job either. So --

THE COURT: So he's prohibited from being a driver currently?

MR. NAVARRO: He was at the time, Your Honor.

Then what happened was, he asked for a modification to be permitted to travel to the Southern District of New York.

The Government consented to that. He represented that he 1 2 needed to go to Manhattan for medical visits. But --By car or... 3 THE COURT: 4 MR. NAVARRO: Well, that's what I was getting at, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. NAVARRO: When that modification was made, the order stayed in that he was not to drive. And so that 8 9 remained the status quo through today. 10 And, Your Honor, there really are no changed circumstances, other than the employment. 11 But the concern 12 of the Government is that it is at night. It does involve a 13 motor vehicle going to many different locations. And it 14 also involves the same mode that he was using to commit the crime before. So this type of pickup and delivery to 15 wherever or wherever the clients need, certainly allows him 16 17 to continue any money laundering activities that he may be

> THE COURT: Okay.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

involved in.

MR. NAVARRO: And I want to be clear, the Government doesn't have any evidence that he is involved, but it certainly --

> THE COURT: Go slower.

MR. NAVARRO: -- gives him the opportunity.

THE COURT: Okay. What is the defendant doing now

for work, Mr. Gold? 1 2 MR. GOLD: He's fundamentally unemployed, 3 Your Honor, for medical issues for quite some time. 4 THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLD: Which, I believe, have dissipated. 5 But 6 I don't believe he's employed at the moment. 7 THE COURT: Okav. 8 MR. GOLD: He's working off of, basically, 9 donations from his family and having exhausted most, if not 10 all, of his savings. 11 Okay. THE COURT: 12 And does Pretrial Service have a view All right. 13 on this application, and do you know what the application 14 is? 15 MS. CARLSON: Amanda Carlson for Pretrial 16 Services. Good afternoon. 17 I've just been informed of the application. Yes, 18 that the defendant would like to be removed from location 19 monitoring, I gather, so I --20 THE COURT: No. He wants to stay on location 21 monitoring, but he wants to have the 10:00 p.m. to 9:00 a.m. 22 curfew lifted and allow him to be and to drive to a 23 four-state region, including New York, New Jersey, 24 Pennsylvania, and --

MR. GOLD: Connecticut.

25

THE COURT: -- Connecticut for purposes of 1 2 transporting cars or delivering cars as part of an auction 3 service. And I gather one of the proposed suretors would be 4 his boss in this endeavor. 5 The Government -- you caught the tail end of what the Government said. Their concern is that this would 6 7 basically give him the means to carry on the same money 8 laundering activity he was involved in before, because the 9 modus operandi, I guess, involved the defendant driving to 10 various places to deliver the currency, or the laundered proceeds of narcotics, or to pick up whatever goods or other 11 12 items that were used as part of this illegal activity. 13 MR. GOLD: (Indicating.)

THE COURT: What did you want to say, Mr. Gold?

I forgot to ask. My correction, MR. GOLD:

Your Honor. The suretor who would be his employer is the car suretor.

> THE COURT: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOLD: The other suretors, both suretors, have nothing to do with the business.

> THE COURT: Okay.

So, Ms. Carlson, do you have any view All right. and how has the defendant's compliance been the last -- what has it been, year -- oh, much less than a year, I'm sorry. It's only been since May or thereabouts.

MS. CARLSON: Since his release, the defendant has been compliant, Your Honor. And for the record, at the initial appearance on the bail report, Pretrial Services did not recommend location monitoring; therefore, we would have no objection to that.

However, we would prefer that the defendant not be on a standalone monitoring type of location monitoring. The reason being that it's just very difficult for Pretrial Services to monitor someone who has free rein of four states and really understand where someone's going, and what locations are troublesome and what locations aren't troublesome. It's just something we would prefer not to do. That is the point of the curfew. It's to ensure that they're at their home and they are not out late at night to do these types of things.

But for the record, we did not recommend location monitoring at the initial hearing.

THE COURT: Right.

Let me ask you, Mr. Navarro, the indictment I think in the complaint, both charge the defendant with engaging in this money laundering activity for about eight months, between August 2018 and April 2019. Do you have any information or evidence to suggest he was doing it for a longer period of time?

MR. NAVARRO: Yes, Your Honor. The DEA -- the

charges in the complaint are limited to when the undercover transactions began and when they ended, Your Honor. But based on his conversations on recorded -- excuse me -- based on his recorded statements to DEA agents, he has been involved in money laundering before. He has had partners involved. For example, he spoke about a partner who was arrested for dealing with drug dealers as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NAVARRO: So there are the money laundering transactions that were not done with the DEA.

THE COURT: Okay. And the way this business would work is that the defendant would go collect the money that needed to be laundered and then convert it into some other currency?

MR. NAVARRO: The way it would work, Your Honor, is he would do both ends. So he would both pick up cash and convert it to Bitcoin.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NAVARRO: And then do the opposite, receive Bitcoin and convert that to cash.

THE COURT: All right. I have to tell you,

Mr. Gold, I have so real problems with the proposed

modification, because it essentially opens up free rein for

the defendant to travel through this four-state area and be

able to drive and use the same mode of transportation that

he did whenever he was transacting his illegal activity. So it is really no restriction on him whatsoever.

Moreover, he would be specifically allowed to do it late at night when it would be much more difficult to bring any attention or any necessary attention to his conduct. And as Pretrial Services said, even though he would be monitored, it is kind of meaningless in terms of deterring or detecting any kind of criminal activity because all they would know is that he is traveling to one of the four states he is allowed to travel to. And in effect, what I hear Officer Carlson saying is they would prefer no monitoring if he is going to be allowed to travel so extensively.

I just do not see how this modification, which essentially puts no restrictions on him, would achieve the proper purpose of preventing him from re-offending during this period of time, or at least detecting whether or not he was engaging in illegal conduct of the sort that he was doing before, which involved him traveling extensively to both pick up and deliver laundered proceeds.

So I am going to deny this request. I understand that you have two suretors, but the problem really is one of detection. It is not so much one of the earnestness of the suretors. It will be virtually impossible for the Government or Pretrial Services to figure out whether

Mr. Goklu is carrying on or resuming his money laundering business if he is allowed to drive everywhere between here and Pennsylvania and Connecticut.

If he wants to find employment, he is just going to have to find something local. If he had a local job that involved nighttime work, I would be a little more open to that modification. But I am not going to lift the curfew and I am not going to allow him to travel to all of those states. So he still cannot drive. He still must remain on curfew from 10:00 p.m. to 9:00 a.m. If he finds other employment and needs a modification of his bail for that purpose, he can apply. But he should just look for daytime employment. There are plenty of jobs to be had in this area. Okay?

So I am going to deny the bail modification request.

MR. GOLD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

We will see you folks in January, and at that time, you will let me know whether we will proceed to trial, and if you have any motions or whether there will be a plea.

Okay? Thank you.

Thank you, Officer Carlson, for coming up on short notice.

MS. CARLSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

	3
1	MR. NAVARRO: Thank you, Judge.
2	MR. GOLD: Your Honor, may I make just one
3	slight additional request
4	THE COURT: Yes, sure.
5	MR. GOLD: if I may?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	MR. GOLD: It takes him about an hour and a half
8	to get to my office.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. GOLD: And there has been some difficulty
11	getting advanced approval for office visits, just in terms
12	of timing. If I may request that he be deemed authorized to
13	come to my office upon my notification two days in advance
14	to the Pretrial without having to wait for Pretrial to get
15	back with that where that it's automatically authorized
16	so he can leave his house at 8 o'clock and, you know, get to
17	my office?
18	THE COURT: That's fine.
19	Where does he live?
20	MR. GOLD: In Queens.
21	THE DEFENDANT: In Queens.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And your office is downtown?
23	MR. GOLD: In Midtown Manhattan, I'm in the
24	Empire State Building.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	Officer Carlson, would it suffice if Mr. Gold
2	alerted or notified your office two days in advance of a
3	visit by Mr. Goklu to his lawyer?
4	MS. CARLSON: That is our general, normal
5	practice, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Without confirmation, though, before
7	he could leave?
8	MS. CARLSON: We would like to give him
9	confirmation so that we know where he is going.
10	THE COURT: Right.
11	MS. CARLSON: But if we have an email from
12	Mr. Gold saying that you have an appointment, you know,
13	that's fine.
14	THE COURT: All right. Fine.
15	MR. GOLD: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Fine. So it doesn't require
17	modification. That's the operating practice.
18	MR. GOLD: All right.
19	THE COURT: So he can go, so long as you notify
20	them.
21	MR. GOLD: And, in fact, I'm sure I can do it, as
22	I have in the past, longer than two days in advance.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. GOLD: It's just that I haven't gotten
25	confirmations. But moving forward

	Proceedings 18
1	THE COURT: That's all fine.
2	MR. GOLD: Okay.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for
4	that.
5	MR. GOLD: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right?
7	MR. NAVARRO: Thank you, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Thanks, everyone.
9	(Matter concluded.)
10	
11	00000
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	I (we) certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
17	/s/ David R. Roy November 10, 2020
18	DAVID R. ROY Date
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	